

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron

Tetrahedron 63 (2007) 609–614

# Construction of spiro-oxaquinolizidinone framework of Upenamide via organoiron complexes

Jeng Liang Han and Chi Wi Ong\*

Department of Chemistry, National Sun Yat Sen University, Kaohsiung 804, Taiwan

Received 11 October 2006; revised 4 November 2006; accepted 7 November 2006 Available online 27 November 2006

Abstract—An organoiron approach toward the synthesis of an elaborated tricyclic spiro-oxaquinolizidinone ring has been accomplished. The key intermediate was efficiently prepared through a nitrile addition to the appropriately functionalized cyclohexadienylium–Fe(CO)<sub>3</sub> perchlorate salt to install the requisite quaternary center in the spiro-oxaquinolizidinone ring. © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Upenamide (1) was isolated in 2000 from the branching sponge of Echinochalina sp. by Kelly and co-workers (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)).<sup>1</sup> Upenamide is a macrocyclic alkaloid possessing both a unique spiro-oxaquinolizidinone core (ABC tricyclic ring) and hemiaminal core (DE bicyclic ring) systems. Despite having an interesting framework, there has been no wide study on its biological activity because of its scarcity. To the best of our knowledge, no total synthesis has been reported so far. Synthetic studies toward both the heterocyclic ring systems of this architecturally complex natural product have been presented.[2](#page-4-0) However, the presence of a unique spiro-oxaquinolizidinone core (ABC ring) has made it a challenge for synthetic chemists. To date, reported syntheses of the spiro-oxaquinolizidinone ring system<sup> $2b$ </sup> lack the requisite functionalities at the C-ring for further elaboration of a 20-membered macrocycle present in Upenamide.

Our general strategies for the synthesis of the tricyclic spirooxaquinolizidinone core (ABC-ring system) of Upenamide are outlined in [Scheme 1](#page-1-0). The key element in this scheme consists of the nitrile addition to the appropriately functionalized cyclohexadienylium– $Fe(CO)_3$  salt to construct the requisite substrate having a quaternary carbon center for elaboration to the final spiro-oxaquinolizidinone ring. Recently our laboratory was involved in developing a methodology for the successful addition of nitrile to cyclohexadienylium– Fe(CO)<sub>3</sub> backbone via the perchlorate salt.<sup>3,4</sup> On the basis of this strategy we envisaged that compound I could be elaborated to the targeted ABC ring of Upenamide via two routes: (i) the initial construction of the oxazinanone ring A, followed by an intramolecular N-alkylation of the amide to



Figure 1. Structure of Upenamide.

form ring B (route A), and (ii) synthesis of an acetal  $\beta$ -hydroxy amide intermediate, followed by deacetalization and an intramolecular cyclization for the consecutive formation of both rings A and B (route B).

### 2. Results and discussion

We first undertook model studies concerning construction of the ABC ring of Upenamide that lacks the carbonyl group of the amide in A-ring using the less lengthy reaction sequences of route A. The 4-methoxycinnamic acid 2 was identified as a suitable starting material and this was first converted into 4-methoxyphenyl-propan-1-ol by  $LiAlH<sub>4</sub>$  reduction[.5](#page-5-0) The tricarbonyl{1-4-h-[3-acetoxy-1-(4-methoxycyclohexa-1,3-dienylium)-propane]}iron perchlorate 5 was synthesized as previously reported according to Scheme  $2^{3,6,7}$  $2^{3,6,7}$  $2^{3,6,7}$ via (i) Birch reduction to give 3, (ii) protection of the alcohol as the acetate, (iii) conjugation of the 1,4-diene to afford 4a and 4b, (iv) complex formation with  $Fe(CO)_5$ , and (v) hydride abstraction with triphenylmethanium perchlorate.

The addition reaction of TMS-CN to 5 furnished 6 in 71% yield.<sup>[3,4](#page-4-0)</sup> The acetate group in  $6$  was removed under basic

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +886 7 5252000x3923; fax: +886 7 5253908; e-mail: [cong@mail.nsysu.edu.tw](mailto:cong@mail.nsysu.edu.tw)

<sup>0040-4020/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2006.11.018

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic analysis for tricyclic core (ABC-ring system) of Upenamide.

hydrolysis condition to afford the free alcohol 7, which was subsequently converted to the corresponding bromide 8 in near quantitative yield. Treatment of 8 with DIBAL successfully resulted in the conversion of the nitrile into the corresponding aldehyde 9. Reaction of aldehyde 9 with 3-aminopropanol under Hoye conditions<sup>[5](#page-5-0)</sup> successfully furnished the spiro-octapyran[2,3-b]pyridine core 10 in 53% yield after three steps, and as a 1:1 mixture of diastereomers (Scheme 2).

Having achieved the synthesis of 10, we then tried the reaction of 9 with hydroxyamide 11 to synthesize the spirooxaquinolizidinone core of Upenamide. Unfortunately, no desired cyclization product 12 or 13 was formed using condensation conditions,<sup>[8](#page-5-0)</sup> and only starting material was recovered even after a prolonged reaction time (Scheme 2). We believe that the failure might be attributed either to the poorer reactivity of the amide group, or the increased reversibility of the unstable cyclic acetal-amide under the reaction



<span id="page-2-0"></span>conditions. In this study, we have shown that the reactivity of nitrogen atom is a key issue for this intermolecular cyclization via route A.

We next planned to introduce the A and B rings of the tricyclic spiro-oxaquinolizidinone core (ABC-ring system) of Upenamide via route B. Similarly, cyclohexadienylium–  $Fe(CO)$ <sub>3</sub> perchlorate salt 15 was synthesized from 4-methoxycinnamic acid 2 via (i) Birch reduction, (ii) esterification of the unstable acid, (iii) conjugation of the 1,4-diene, (iv) complex formation with  $Fe(CO)_5$ , (v) reduction of the ester to the alcohol, (vi) conversion of alcohol to phthalimide by Mitsunobu reaction to afford 14, and (vii) hydride abstraction with triphenylmethanium perchlorate (Scheme 3). $4,6,7$ TMS-CN addition to 15 furnished product 16 in 86% yield.



Scheme 3.

Treatment of the phthalimide compound 16 with hydrazine gave the requisite amino product 17 in 60% yield. It is interesting to note that no intramolecular cyclization of the amine to the nitrile was observed under this reaction condition. For the facile construction of the oxaquinolizidinone ring,  $^{2b,9}$  $^{2b,9}$  $^{2b,9}$ we investigated the transformation of the amino group in 17 into the hydroxyamide derivative, followed by the reduction of the nitrile into the aldehyde for the intramolecular cyclization reaction. Reaction of 17 with 3-benzyloxypropionyl chloride (synthesized from the mono-benzylation of propane-1,3-diol followed by oxidation to the acid and conversion to the acid chloride)<sup>[10,11](#page-5-0)</sup> gave the amide 18 in 74% yield. We were unable to convert the nitrile group in 18 into the aldehyde 19 after several experiments (Scheme 3).

We thought that the amide bond present in 18 might be an undesirable limitation to the above synthetic route. At this stage, we considered using the intermediate 9 whereby the nitrile functional group of 8 has been successfully converted to the aldehyde prior to the formation of the amide bond. The unstable aldehyde 9 was then subjected to protection using ethylene glycol to furnish the cyclic acetal 20. It is interesting to note that the bromo-substituent in 9 survived these reaction conditions. The introduction of the amino group into 20 was carried out by the conversion of the bromo-functional group to the phthalimide 21, followed by deprotection using hydrazine to furnish the amino-precursor. $4.7$  With the cyclic acetal-amine precursor in hand, we examined methods for the elaboration to the requisite oxaquinolizidinone (AB) core.<sup>[2b,12](#page-4-0)</sup> The  $\beta$ -hydroxy amide 22 was prepared through the nucleophilic ring opening of β-propiolactone in an unoptimized 50% yield (Scheme 4).

The unstable  $\beta$ -hydroxy amide 22 was used immediately for the next reaction without further purification. To our delight, treatment of 22 in dichloromethane with stannous chloride dihydrate afforded the spiro-oxaquinolizinone 13 in a moderate 63% yield, as a 1.5:1 mixture of diastereomers. The stereochemistry of the newly formed stereocenter at C-10 can be clearly seen in <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum indicated the predominate compound to be the desired isomer 13a, since this shows a lower field singlet at  $\delta$  4.40



characteristic of the C-10 proton pointing toward the olefinic group. The other diastereomer 13b showed a singlet at  $\delta$  4.20 for the C-10 proton [\(Scheme 4\)](#page-2-0).

In summary, we have explored the scope of utilizing organoiron complex for the construction of the spiro-oxaquinolizinone (ABC ring) core of Upenamide. It would be worth noting that this methodology allows the synthesis of a functionalized C-ring, which is otherwise difficult to synthesize, for the ready access to the total synthesis of Upenamide.

#### 3. Experimental section

#### 3.1. General

Chemicals were purchased and used as received. All reactions were performed under an inert atmosphere of nitrogen. Diethyl ether and tetrahydrofuran (THF) were distilled from sodium benzophenone. Dichloromethane  $(CH_2Cl_2)$  was distilled from calcium hydride. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on a 200 MHz or 400 MHz NMR instrument using  $CDCl<sub>3</sub>$  as solvent. Chemical shifts were reported in parts per million (ppm) with reference to TMS. Elemental analysis was performed using an elemental analyzer. High resolution mass spectra were recorded using Bruker APEX II. All the melting points were recorded on a Fisher Johns Melting Apparatus and are uncorrected. Chromatography was performed using silica gel 60 and preparative thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on silica gel 60  $PF_{254}$  plates  $(20 \times 20 \text{ cm})$ . Compound 5, 6, 15, 16, 17 were prepared according to reported procedures.<sup>[3,4,6,7](#page-4-0)</sup>

3.1.1. Tricarbonyl[2-5-h-3-bromo-(1-cyano-4-methoxycyclohexa-2,4-dienyl)propane]iron (8). To a solution of the complex  $6$  (375 mg, 1.00 mmol) in MeOH (10 mL) was added  $K_2CO_3$  (200 mg, 1.50 mmol). The mixture was stirred at  $0^{\circ}$ C for 1 h. After the reaction was completed, 1 M aqueous HCl was added and the reaction mixture was evaporated in vacuo. To the mixture was added  $CH_2Cl_2$ and then washed with saturated aqueous  $NaHCO<sub>3</sub>$  and brine. The organic phase was dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  and evaporated to give alcohol complex 7 as an orange oil, suitable for use in the next reaction. To the solution of alcohol complex in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (20 mL) was added PPh<sub>3</sub> (390 mg, 1.50 mmol) and the mixture was cooled to  $0^{\circ}$ C. Then, carbon tetrabromide (0.5 g, 1.50 mmol) was added to the mixture. After stirring at room temperature overnight, the reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness and purified by chromatography (ether) to give 8 (400 mg, 99%) as a pale yellow oil.  $R_f$ : 0.58 (hexane/EtOAc=5:1); IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 2308, 2056, 1985 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  5.17 (dd,  $J=6.4$ , 2.0 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.47 (m, 1H), 3.47–3.37  $(m, 2H), 2.59$  (d,  $J=6.4$  Hz, 1H), 2.35 (dd,  $J=15.2$ , 2.0 Hz, 1H), 2.12–2.03 (m, 1H), 1.98–1.92 (m, 1H), 1.77–1.64 (m, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 141.6, 124.8, 63.9, 55.0, 53.5, 53.2, 40.7, 38.7, 36.9, 32.9, 28.4. Mass  $(FAB):$   $mlz$  397  $(M^*: C_{14}H_{14}^{81}BrNO_4Fe)$ , 395  $(M^*: C_{14}H_{14}^{81}BrNO_4Fe)$  $C_{14}H_{14}^{79}BrNO_4Fe$ ), 371 (M<sup>+</sup>-CO, M<sup>+</sup>:  $C_{14}H_{14}^{81}BrNO_4Fe$ ), 369 (M<sup>+</sup>-CO, M<sup>+</sup>: C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>14</sub><sup>79</sup>BrNO<sub>4</sub>Fe), 339 (M<sup>+</sup>-2CO); HRMS (FAB) calcd for  $C_{14}H_{15}^{79}BrNO_4Fe$  (M<sup>+</sup>+1): 395.9535, found: 395.9528.

3.1.2. Tricarbonyl{2-5-η-1,1'-octahydropyrano[2,3 $b$ ]pyridine}iron (10). To a solution of the complex 8  $(146 \text{ mg}, 0.37 \text{ mmol})$  in  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  (10 mL) was slowly added DIBAL (20% in toluene, 0.54 mL, 0.74 mmol) at  $0^{\circ}$ C. The reaction mixture was stirred at  $0^{\circ}$ C for 30 min. After the reaction was completed, 1 M aqueous HCl was added and the reaction mixture was filtered with Celite. To the mixture was added  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  and then washed with saturated aqueous  $NaHCO<sub>3</sub>$  and brine. The organic phase was dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  and evaporated to give aldehyde complex **9** as an orange oil, suitable for use in the next reaction.

To a solution of the complex 9 (100 mg, 2.53 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (5 mL) was added 3-amino-1-propanol (0.1 mL, 1.31 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. After the reaction was completed, 5% aqueous NaOH (5 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 20 min. To the mixture was added  $CH_2Cl_2$  and then washed with saturated aqueous  $NaHCO<sub>3</sub>$  and brine. The organic phase was dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , evaporated to dryness, and purified by chromatography  $\left(CH_2Cl_2/MeOH=\right)$  $20:1$ ) to give  $10$  (50 mg, 53%) as a pale yellow oil. Two diastereomers: 1:1;  $R_f$ : 0.64 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH=20:1); IR  $(CH_2Cl_2)$ : 2047, 1960 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 10a:  $\delta$  5.11 (dd, J=6.5, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (dd, J=11.5, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.63 (s, 3H), 3.59 (t,  $J=11.5$  Hz, 1H), 3.28 (m, 1H), 3.23 (s, 1H), 2.98–2.70 (m, 2H), 2.57 (d,  $J=6.5$  Hz, 1H), 2.29–1.89 (m, 4H), 2.07 (dd,  $J=15.0$ ,  $2.5$  Hz, 1H),  $1.62-1.05$  (m, 4H),  $1.43$  (dd,  $J=15.0$ ,  $2.5$  Hz, 1H). **10b**:  $\delta$  5.09 (dd, J=6.8, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (dd, J=11.5, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.63 (s, 3H), 3.41 (t,  $J=11.5$  Hz, 1H), 3.26 (m, 1H), 2.96 (s, 1H), 2.98–2.70 (m, 3H,), 1.83 (dd,  $J=15.0$ ,  $2.5$  Hz, 1H),  $1.62-1.05$  (m, 4H),  $1.29$  (dd,  $J=15.0$ ,  $2.5$  Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): **10a**: δ 211.9, 140.1, 98.1, 68.5, 66.5, 57.8, 54.3, 54.0, 53.4, 52.8, 42.1, 39.1, 35.0, 21.2, 20.9. 10b: d 211.5, 140.0, 98.0, 67.7, 64.7, 57.8, 54.2, 54.0, 53.4, 52.8, 41.1, 39.1, 35.0, 21.2, 20.9. Mass (FAB):  $m/z$  376 (M<sup>+</sup>+1), 347 (M<sup>+</sup>-CO), 291 (M<sup>+</sup>-3CO); HRMS (FAB) calcd for  $C_{17}H_{22}NO_5Fe$  (M<sup>+</sup>+1): 376.0848, found: 376.0842.

3.1.3. Tricarbonyl{2-5-h-[3-benzyloxy-N-3-(1-cyano-4 methoxycyclohexa-2,4-dienyl)propyl]propioamide}iron (18). To a solution of the complex  $16$  (423 mg, 0.90 mmol) in absolute EtOH (20 mL) was slowly added hydrazine (0.40 mL, 7.10 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The solvent and hydrazine were evaporated in vacuo and then  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  was added to the mixture. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated to afford amine complex 17 (0.25 g) in 60% yield. The amine complex 17 was used in the next step without further purification where it was dissloved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (15 mL), purged with argon, and cooled to  $0^{\circ}$ C. Excess triethylamine was added to the reaction mixture. Then, a solution of 3-benzyloxypropionyl chloride<sup>[11,12](#page-5-0)</sup> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) was added dropwise. After 3 h of stirring at room temperature the reaction mixture was washed with 1 M aqueous HCl, saturated aqueous  $NaHCO<sub>3</sub>$ , and brine. The organic phase was dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  and evaporated to give 18 (440 mg, 99%) as an orange oil.  $R_f$ : 0.36 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/EtOAc=10:1); IR  $(CH_2Cl_2)$ : 3446, 3392, 2226, 2059, 1983, 1676 cm<sup>-1</sup>;<br><sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCL);  $\delta$  7.36–7.30 (m, 5H) 6.38 <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.36–7.30 (m, 5H), 6.38 (br s, 1H), 5.13 (dd,  $J=6.4$  and 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (s, 2H),

<span id="page-4-0"></span> $3.72$  (t, J=5.6 Hz, 2H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 3.42 (m, 1H), 3.27–3.23  $(m, 2H), 2.51$  (d, J=6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.47 (t, J=5.6 Hz, 2H), 2.28 (dd,  $J=15.2$  and 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.65 (dd,  $J=15.2$  and 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.65–1.40 (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): d 171.9, 141.8, 137.9, 128.8, 128.2, 128.0, 125.0, 73.5, 66.5, 64.0, 55.0, 53.6, 53.1, 40.6, 39.0, 37.2, 37.1, 25.5. Mass (EI):  $m/z$  495 (M<sup>+</sup>+1), 441 (M<sup>+</sup>+1-CO-CN), 439 (M<sup>+</sup> +12CO), 410 (M<sup>+</sup> 3CO); HRMS (FAB) calcd for  $C_{24}H_{27}N_2O_6Fe$  (M<sup>+</sup>+1): 495.1219, found: 495.1226.

3.1.4. Tricarbonyl{2-5-h-3-bromo-[1-(1,3)-dioxolane-4 methoxycyclohexa-2,4-dienyl]propane}iron (20). To a solution of the complex  $8(146 \text{ mg}, 0.37 \text{ mmol})$  in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) was slowly added DIBAL (20% in toluene, 0.54 mL, 0.74 mmol) at  $0^{\circ}$ C. The reaction mixture was stirred at  $0^{\circ}$ C for 30 min. After the reaction was completed, 1 M aqueous HCl was added and the reaction mixture was filtered with Celite. To the mixture was added  $CH_2Cl_2$  and then washed with saturated aqueous  $NaHCO<sub>3</sub>$  and brine. The organic phase was dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  and evaporated to give aldehyde complex 9 as an orange oil, suitable for use in the next reaction. A mixture of ethylene glycol (1 mL) and catalytic amount of p-toluenesulfonic acid was added to a solution at aldehyde complex in benzene (30 mL) and heated under reflux overnight by the aid of a Dean–Stark apparatus. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was washed with saturated aqueous  $NaHCO<sub>3</sub>$  and brine. The organic phase was dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , evaporated the solvent, and purified by chromatography (hexane/ $EtOAc=5:1$ ) to give dioxolane complex 20 as an orange oil (100 mg, 63%).  $R_f$ : 0.53 (hexane/EtOAc=5:1); IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 2047, 1978 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  5.11 (dd, J=6.4, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (s, 1H), 3.93 (m, 2H), 3.81 (m, 2H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.35 (t,  $J=6.8$  Hz, 2H), 3.30 (m, 1H), 2.42 (d,  $J=6.4$  Hz, 1H), 1.99–1.84 (m, 3H), 1.58–1.45 (m, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  211.6, 140.8, 109.6, 65.6, 65.4, 65.2, 55.5, 54.7, 53.7, 43.7, 36.9, 36.7, 34.8, 28.1. Mass (FAB):  $m/z$  443 (M<sup>+</sup>+1), 414 (M<sup>+</sup>-CO), 386 (M<sup>+</sup> 2CO), 358 (M+ 3CO); HRMS (FAB) calcd for  $C_{16}H_{20}^{79}BrO_6Fe (M^+ + 1)$ : 442.9794, found: 442.9787.

3.1.5. Tricarbonyl{2-5-h-3-phthalimido-[1-(1,3)-dioxolane-4-methoxycyclohexa-2,4-dienyl]propane}iron (21). To a solution of the complex 20 (100 mg, 0.23 mmol) in DMF (5 mL) was added  $K_2CO_3$  (38 mg, 0.27 mmol) and phthalimide (40 mg, 0.27 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 days. After the reaction was completed, water was added and the reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , and evaporated to give crude complex 21. The crude mixture was purified by chromatography (Hexane/EtOAc=5:1) to give pure complex 21 as a pale yellow solid (50 mg, 45%).  $R_f$ : 0.11 (hexane/EtOAc= 5:1); IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 2058, 1987, 1779 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl3): d 7.81 (m, 2H), 7.67 (m, 2H), 5.05 (dd, J=6.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.34 (s, 2H), 3.85–3.72 (m, 6H), 3.61  $(s, 3H), 3.22$  (m, 1H), 2.38 (d, J=6.0 Hz, 1H), 1.78–1.22 (m, 6H). Mass (FAB):  $m/z$  510 (M<sup>+</sup>+1), 481 (M<sup>+</sup>-CO), 453 (M<sup>+</sup> 2CO), 425 (M<sup>+</sup> 3CO); HRMS (FAB) calcd for  $C_{24}H_{24}NO_8Fe (M^+ + 1)$ : 510.0852, found: 510.0849.

3.1.6. Tricarbonyl[2-5-η-1,1'-(4-oxaquinolizidinone)]iron (13). To a solution of the complex  $21$  (72 mg, 0.14 mmol) in absolute EtOH (10 mL) was slowly added hydrazine (0.05 mL, 1.00 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The solvent and hydrazine were evaporated in vacuo and then  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  was added to the mixture. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated to afford an amine complex, which was used in the next step without further purification. Then, to a solution of the amine complex in benzene (5 mL) was slowly added  $\beta$ -propiolactone (0.03 mL, 0.42 mmol). After stirring at room temperature overnight, the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and purified by chromatography (EtOAc) to give hydoxyamide complex 22 as an orange oil  $(24 \text{ mg}, 50\%)$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  6.00 (br, 1H,  $-NH$ ), 5.09 (dd,  $J=6.5$ , 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.40 (s, 2H), 3.94–3.74 (m, 6H), 3.61 (s, 3H), 3.30–3.10 (m, 3H), 2.45– 2.37 (m, 3H), 1.82 (dd,  $J=15.0$ , 2.0 Hz, 1H), 1.50–1.37 (m, 6H).

The complex 22 was used in the next step immediately due to its instability. Therefore, to a solution of hydoxyamide complex 22 in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (5 mL) was added tin(II) chloride dihydrate (10 mg, 0.13 mmol). After stirring at room temperature for 1 day, the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was purified by chromatography  $(CH_2Cl_2)$  to give the desired complex  $13 \frac{(13a/13b=1.5:1)}{3}$  as a pale yellow oil  $(13 \text{ mg})$ , 63%).  $R_f$ : 0.25 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 2047, 1966, 1653 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): **13a**:  $\delta$  5.18 (dd,  $J=6.8, 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 4.70 (m, 1H), 4.40 (br s, 1H), 4.08 (m, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.24 (m, 1H), 2.75–2.38 (m, 3H), 2.39 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 1H), 1.88 (dd, J=14.4, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 1.60– 1.25 (m, 4H), 1.42 (dd,  $J=14.4$ , 2.0 Hz, 1H). **13b**:  $\delta$  5.15 (dd,  $J=6.4$ , 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (m, 1H), 4.20 (br s, 1H), 4.08 (m, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.29 (m, 1H), 2.75–2.38 (m, 3H), 2.05 (d,  $J=6.4$  Hz, 1H), 1.70–1.25 (m, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR: δ 211.8, 90.7, 66.7, 62.6, 57.8, 54.6, 49.2, 40.7, 54.1, 40.2, 37.8, 33.7, 20.4. Mass (FAB):  $m/z$  390 (M<sup>+</sup>+1), 362 (M<sup>+</sup>+1-CO), 333 (M<sup>+</sup>-2CO), 305 (M<sup>+</sup>-3CO); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{17}H_{20}NO_6Fe$  (M<sup>+</sup>+1): 390.0640, found: 390.0638.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank the National Science Council for their generous financial support. We are indebted to San-Hsiung who generously upgraded our laboratory.

#### References and notes

- 1. Jimenez, J. I.; Goetz, G.; Mau, C. M. S.; Yoshida, W. Y.; Scheuer, P. J.; Williamson, R. T.; Kelly, M. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 8465–8469.
- 2. For earlier studies on the synthesis of Upenamide, see: (a) Maia, A. A.; Mons, S.; Gil, R. P. D.; Marazano, C. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 1057–1062; (b) Reid, M.; Taylor, R. J. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 4181–4183; (c) Kiewel, K.; Luo, Z.; Sulikowski, G. A. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5163–5165.
- 3. (a) Ong, C. W.; Chien, C. J. Organometallics 1993, 12, 241– 243; (b) Ong, C. W.; Chao, Y. K.; Chang, Y. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 6303–6304.
- 4. Ong, C. W.; Wang, H. M.; Chang, Y. A. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 3996–3998.
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>5. (a) Hoye, T. R.; North, J. T.; Yao, L. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 2617–2618; (b) Hoye, T. R.; North, J. T.; Yao, L. J. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 6904–6910.
- 6. Pearson, A. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1979, 1255–1260.
- 7. (a) Pearson, A. J.; Rees, D. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 1118–1119; (b) Pearson, A. J.; Rees, D. C. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1982, 2467–2478.
- 8. Ito, K.; Sekiya, M. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1972, 20, 1762–1767.
- 9. Winterfeld, K.; Michael, H. Arch. Pharm. Ber. Dtsch. Pharm. Ges. 1961, 65–76.
- 10. Gennari, C.; Cozzi, P. G. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 4015– 4021.
- 11. Davis, F. A.; Kasu, P. V. N.; Sundarababu, G.; Qi, H. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 7546–7547.
- 12. Ford, K. L.; Roskamp, E. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 1135– 1138.